

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,244	03/02/2004	Rui Yang	I-2-0455.1US	3614
	7590 06/26/2007 KOENIG, P.C.		EXAM	
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			FILE, ERIN M	
30 SOUTH 17	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		2611	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	* _		06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			c_{c}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,244	YANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin M. File	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the stensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety of the provision of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	larch 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		•	S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) □ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7,8,11,13,14,17,19,20,23,25,26</u> 7) □ Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,9,10,12,15,16,18,21,22,24,27,2</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. <u>and 29</u> is/are rejected. <u>8 and 30</u> is/are objected	to.	
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in nity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/16/2005	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 14, 19, 20, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Krupka (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0210752) in view of Frank (U.S. pub. No. 2002/0136158).

Claim 1, 7, 13, 19, 25, Krupka discloses:

- producing a received vector (fig. 6, sampler 22 creates a "vector" of received signal samples);
- for use in estimating a desired portion of data of the received vector, determining a past, a center and a future portion of a channel estimate matrix, the past portion associated with a portion of the received signal prior to the desired portion of the data, the future portion associated with a portion of the received vector after the desired portion of the data and the center portion associated with a portion of the received vector associated with the desired data portion (fig. 6, calculatlate an a late channel estimate 88, 94, a center channel estimate, 90, 96, and an early channel estimate, 92, 98);

Art Unit: 2611

estimating the desired portion of the data without effectively truncating detected
data, the estimating the desired portion of the data uses a minimum mean square
error algorithm having inputs of the center portion of the channel estimate matrix
and a portion of the received vector ([0013]-[0014], [0018] discloses the
truncation occurs on the error or noise, effectively not truncating the detected
data)

Krupka fails to disclose using the past and future portions of the channel estimate matrix for adjusting factors in the minimum mean square error algorithm, however, Frank discloses using the channel estimates for adjusting factors in the minimum mean square error algorithm ([0010]). Because the use of update in the mean squared error is well known in the art for the advantage of more quickly reducing the error in the estimation, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the minimum mean squared error estimation updating technique of Frank into the invention of Krupka

Claim 2, 8, 14, 20, 26, Frank further discloses the received vector comprises at least one code division multiple access signal and the estimated desired portion of the data produces a portion of a spread data vector ([0003].

3. Claims 5, 11, 17, 23, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krupka (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0210752) and Frank (U.S. pub. No. 2002/0136158) as applied to claims 1, 7, 13, 19, 25 above, and further in view of Raphaeli (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0240595).

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 5, 11, 17, 23, 29, neither Krupka nor Frank disclose the data estimation is performed using a sliding window approach and the desired portion of data of the received vector is a center portion of the window, however, Raphaeli discloses data estimation is performed using a sliding window approach and the desired portion of data of the received vector is a center portion of the window ([0015], [0075], [0095]). Sliding windows correlation methods are well known in the art for the advantages of reducing high frequency/low incidence noise and being easy to implement in hardware. Because of these advantage, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the sliding window as disclose by Raphaeli into the combined invention of Krupka and Frank.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 31 and 32 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, and 30 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is 5712726040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-9:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,244

Art Unit: 2611

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 5712723024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erin M. File/ Assistant Examiner, AU 2611 6/11/2007

> DAVID C. PAYNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER